



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9574		
10/087,977	03/05/2002	David D. Rowley	23415-014			
29315 7	590 01/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			ROVNAK, JOHN EDMUND			
SUITE 900	I IIILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA	20190		3714	13		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/15/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			13		
		Applic	cation No.		Applicant(s)				
			7,977		ROWLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner		Art Unit				
		1	. Rovnak		3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation app ars on	th cov rsheet	with the d	correspond nc ac	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for the set or extended period for the set or extended period for the se	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In noication. days, a reply within the tory period will apply ar	o event, however, may statutory minimum of nd will expire SIX (6) N application to become	r a reply be tin thirty (30) day MONTHS from ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>27 October 2</u>	<u>2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to lead to the oath or declaration is objected to lead to the oath or declaration is objected to lead to the oath or declaration is objected to lead	a) accepted o on to the drawing he correction is repoy the Examiner or foreign priority ocuments have a full bureau (PCT for a list of the critical priority domestic priority domestic priority for a list of the critical priority domestic priority	(s) be held in aberquired if the drawing and attack and	yance. Se ing(s) is obtained Office on Applicate on receive of received. C. § 119(e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 Ce Action or form P a)-(d) or (f). ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional	TO-152. I Stage	n) t.		
14)	B7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sente at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	domestic priorit nce of the speci	y under 35 U.S. fication or in an 4) ☐ Intervie	.C. §§ 120 Application	and/or 121 since	CFR 1.78.			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,977

Art Unit: 3714

IApplicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slattery et al. (6514085) in view of Johnston et al. (2002/0103882). Slattery discloses a computer implemented method for evaluating a user's information technology skills by having the user complete a practical exercise that is associated with one or more machines. Slattery discloses displaying to the user information that is associated with the practical exercise, wherein the information describes a task that the user is instructed to complete, and wherein the user uses one or more of the machines to complete the task, and launching the one or more machines so that the user can use the machines to perform the task. Johnston et al discloses a computer implemented method for evaluating a user's information technology skills by having the user complete a practical exercise that is associated with one or more "virtual" machines. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the machines disclosed by Slattery et al to be the virtual machines disclosed by Johnston. See Fig. 14 A (Details) regarding the use of a time requirement for an exercise. See Col. 11 discusses examining the state into which the user put one or more of the machines and comparing to a benchmark. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any

Application/Control Number: 10/087,977

Art Unit: 3714

IT training task could be supported using the systems of Slattery and Johnston, the specific task of modifying a particular file stored within a disk image file not being limiting over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John E. Rovnak whose telephone number is (703) 308-3087.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

John Rovnak
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3714